Fact Sheet on the Iranian Interim Deal

- The interim deal, or the Joint Plan of Action (JPA), took effect on January 20, 2014. It was renewed on July 20, 2014 for another four months ending on November 24, 2014. It was renewed again until March 24, March 31, or June 30, 2015. It was then renewed again until July 7, 2015. A framework to a final deal was announced on April 2, 2015.

- The Obama Administration has refused to release the full text of the deal. It has been reported that there is an informal side deal to the deal itself that is a 30-page text.

- Sanction relief is estimated to be more than $20 billion for Iran. The Daily Beast assessed that the easing of sanctions on Tehran, as reported in a study, allowed the Iranian economy to begin to recover, “undercutting Western leverage”.
  - In 2012/3, thanks to the full sanctions, the Iranian economy contracted by 6.6%. In 2013/4, after the JPA, it only contracted by 1.9%. In 2014/5, it is estimated to actually grow by 2.9-3.0%.
  - Iran has succeeded in reducing the inflation rate from a peak of 42% in mid-2013 to about 15% in April, 2015.
  - Iran has busted through energy export caps every single month of the deal.
  - The lower estimates by President Obama have proven to be wrong.
  - FDD Executive Director Mark Dubowitz has expressed concerns that eroding leverage may prevent American diplomats from securing “[a]n agreement that is verifiable, enforceable and that prevents Iran from pursuing both a uranium and a plutonium pathway to a nuclear weapon would be a tremendous achievement.”
  - Sanctions are crumbling already.
  - A European judicial decision led to the UK lifting a long-time asset freeze on Iran’s largest tanker operator.
  - Reuters reported that India is preparing to pay $1.5 billion for Iranian oil.
  - Turkey will ink a trade pact with Iran worth up to $50 billion a year.
  - In September of 2014, Russia and Iran announced major trade initiatives that were described as a way for Tehran to dodge Western sanctions pressure.
  - By May of 2015, “a number of countries - including some key U.S. allies - are lining up partners and announcing deals for the day when economic and financial sanctions against Tehran are lifted.”

- The U.S. has released billions of the estimated $150 billion frozen Iranian funds as well.
  - Prior to the JPA taking affect, the U.S. released $8 billion in Iranian assets frozen in 1979.1
  - Under the original six month deal with Iran, Tehran received $4.2 billion in cash.
  - For the (first) four month extension, the United States gave Iran another $2.8 billion in frozen funds.
  - For the (second) six month extension, Iran will be given $700 million a month, for a total of $4.9 billion.

- The deal has conceded to Iran’s demand that it be permitted to domestically enrich uranium.

- Iran is at most three months away from enriching enough nuclear fuel for an atomic bomb.

- According to the deal, Iran has committed to halting enrichment to 20%, diluting half of its existing 20% stockpile to 5% levels, and converting the other half to an oxide form in which it can’t be further enriched.

---

1 That amount is claimed by the Iranians. However, the State Department and other experts have not confirmed this number.
• Iran is permitted to continue advanced research and development work on its nuclear program under the interim deal. However, there is a dispute about what kinds of research and development the plan actually allows. “Western officials said on Sunday that existing projects could continue and research could go on, but that new physical projects can’t be begun. Araqchi suggested otherwise, saying, “No facility will be closed; enrichment will continue, and qualitative and nuclear research will be expanded. All research into a new generation of centrifuges will continue.”"

• The deal includes a provision allowing the Iranians to veto reports of violations of the JPA. “Iran sits on the commission that decides whether a violation occurred so enforcement of the deal may prove impossible.” The public summary calls that body the Joint Commission, which is “made up of technical experts from the six powers, Iran and the European Union, (who) will convene at least monthly to discuss implementation of the deal and “any issues that arise.”

• The deal, which does not require Iran to dismantle the vast nuclear infrastructure it assembled, is in direct contravention of six UN resolutions.

• “The deal did force the Iranians to tinker with their existing 20 percent stockpile. They had to turn half of it into oxide, a step reversible at that level just as it is at 5 percent. They had to downblend the other half to 5 percent and then oxidize that, too—a double-step that Iran’s Atomic Energy Organization spokesman once estimated would take two to three weeks to reverse. They had to modify their centrifuges to prevent further enrichment to 20 percent, a step that Zarif and other Iranian officials repeatedly bragged could be reversed in less than a day.”

• The deal does nothing to stop Iran from using its new installments of cash and time to advance the weakest parts of its nuclear program -- bomb technology and the ballistic missiles needed to deliver such bombs to Israel, Europe or the U.S.
  o Ballistic missiles are useless without nuclear warheads for the simple reason that a long-range ballistic missile with a chemical explosive warhead costs many times more than the value of the property it can destroy. Ballistic missiles often miss the programmed target by hundreds of feet. Only a nuclear warhead can overcome the cost and accuracy limitations of long-range ballistic missiles.
  o American Foreign Policy Council Vice President Ilan Berman has warned of “the Iranian regime's intent to become a global missile power” as it negotiates with the P5+1 over its nuclear program, noting that while Tehran is engaging in talks with the West, “it is simultaneously arming for regional dominance.” Berman pointed out that Tehran has poured money into both its ballistic missile and space programs, which he called “technologies that, if fused together, would allow the regime to rapidly field an ICBM.”
  o Defense Intelligence Agency Director Lt. Gen. Michael Flynn had in February 2014 testified that Iran was on track for testing its ICBM capabilities in 2015.
  o Iran has built a 27-meter-long missile, capable of delivering a warhead “far beyond Europe,” which is capable of carrying a conventional or non-conventional warhead.
  o In February of 2015, Iran launched two space launch vehicles that can also serve as long-range missiles, the Safir missile and also a larger Simorgh missile.
  o On March 8, 2015, Iran unveiled the Soumar long-range ground-to-ground cruise missile, which has a range of 2,500 kilometers. Within range of the new cruise missile are American ships operating in the Persian Gulf, the Gulf States, Israel, moderate Arab states, parts of Russia, and countries in Central Europe.
  o Dr. David Cooper has stated that developing intermediate to long range ballistic missiles is a “predictor” of developing nuclear weapons. This is why the Reagan Administration focused on ballistic missile treaties. He also noted that rogue actors may hide their nuclear missile development by claiming it is related to a space program. (Hudson Institute, Iran’s Missile Program, 06/02/15)
  o “Although Iran has paused progress in some areas of its nuclear program and fulfilled its obligations under the Joint Plan of Action (JPOA), it continues to develop technological capabilities that also could be applicable to nuclear weapons, including ballistic missile development,” a one-page unclassified summary of a Pentagon report says.

• The deal legitimizes Iran as a member of the community of nations, and as a partner with the U.S. in negotiations.

• “The agreement calls for Iran to halt key work on a half-built heavy-water nuclear reactor at Arak. But the deal allows Iran to continue with some work at Arak deemed secondary.” Arak is “widely viewed as unnecessary” for the development of peaceful nuclear power. According to the latest reports, the final deal appears to allow Iran to continue the construction of the Arak reactor without any alterations to its design or fueling, with Iran being trusted to halt construction just before the reactor is operational.
The deal has potentially increased the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA)’s access in Iran to monitor the agreement, but Iran is still unwilling to provide the necessary information and access, and even if it eventually does so, it would still fall short of what the IAEA says it needs. It is a far cry from the wide-ranging inspection powers the IAEA had in Iraq in the 1990s. The agreement does not, for example, say anything about the IAEA’s requests to visit Parchin. After the framework, the head of the IAEA said that his agency was given the right to push for access to Iranian military sites, although Iranian officials dispute this.

Iran seems to believe it has “won” with the deal.
- Supreme Leader Ayatollah Ali Khamenei’s Twitter account stated that "nuclear achievements are not going to stop [and] no one has the right to trade them and no one will do so," a stance echoed by remarks published on his official website declaring that "talks should continue" but that "everyone should know that Iran's activities in nuclear research and development, as well as its nuclear achievements, will never be stopped."
- President Rouhani has gloated on Twitter (later removed) and video about the world powers capitulating to Iran.
- A CNN interview on Jan 26, 2014 had President Rouhani declaring to CNN host Fareed Zakaria that Iran "will not accept any limitations" on its "nuclear technology" in the context of a comprehensive agreement between Tehran and the West, and that the Iranians will "not under any circumstances" agree to destroy any uranium enrichment centrifuges. Zakaria described Rouhani's statement as a diplomatic “train wreck.”
- Supreme Leader Khamenei declared that talks between Tehran and world powers “will not lead anywhere.”
- In November of 2014, Iran’s Foreign Minister Javad Zarif said there has been “no change in Iran’s rigid stance on its inalienable nuclear rights” and that “Some [western] countries have fallen prey to miscalculations [about Iran’s position] due to wrong analyses”.
- Foreign Minister Zarif told CNN on Wednesday, January 22, 2014 that the White House’s public description of the interim text “both underplays [Western] concessions and overplays Iranian commitment.” Zarif also flat out declared that Iran “did not agree to dismantle anything,” in contrast to how “the White House tries to portray [the agreement] as a basically a 'dismantling' of Iran's nuclear program.”
- Fars has reported Iranian Army Commander Major General Salehi said: “Had the enemy been able to confront us militarily, it would have already taken action.”
- Ali Younesi, senior advisor to Iranian President Rouhani, stated that “Obama is the weakest of U.S. presidents, he had humiliating defeats in the region” and “that is why they want to compromise with Iran”.
- Iranian officials, including President Rouhani, Foreign Minister Zarif, and former top nuclear negotiator Hossein Mousavian, have said that Iran refuses to dismantle even minimal elements of its nuclear infrastructure.
- During negotiations, Iranian negotiators tend to treat their Western counterparts—particularly the Americans—with scorn. Iranian diplomat Araghchi is reported to have said in an interview that during past negotiations in Geneva, Zarif “shouted” at Kerry and spoke to him in a way that was likely “unprecedented” in the history of U.S. diplomacy. Araghchi went on to claim that he and Zarif play the roles of “good cop, b...” said following the 2nd extension in talks.
- Foreign Minister Javad Zarif said in March of 2015 that Iran has emerged as “the winner” in nuclear talks with Western powers and boasted that Iran’s diplomacy with Western nations established them as a global power.

Other Pertinent Facts
- **Iranian Budgets:** The entire government budget in Iran per year is roughly $300 billion. In 2015, the number was $312 billion, and the Iranian defense expenditures rose by one-third to around $10bn, much of which will go to the Revolutionary Guards.

- **Iran is a Theocracy:** “On the surface, the U.S. and Iranian governments have much in common: a president who is popularly elected, a boisterous legislature, and a powerful judiciary. The obvious difference lies in the fact that Iran is an Islamic theocracy, and that one man, the Supreme Leader, exerts ideological and political control over a system dominated by clerics who shadow every major function of the state.”

- **Iran Wants an Empire:** “Iran is a revolutionary power with hegemonic aspirations. In other words, it is a country seeking to assert its dominance in the region and it will not play by the rules… Iran, however, has brazenly defied this
international order and continues to expand its reach. It uses an assortment of terrorism, proliferation, military proxies, and occasionally old-fashioned diplomacy to further its dominance… Although the 1979 Islamic revolution led by Ayatollah Ruhollah Khomeini is often cited as the beginning of the Iran’s imperial worldview, Iran’s hegemonic aspirations actually date back to the Safavid Dynasty of the 16th century…Iran's imperial ambitions are not new. **Under the Safavids, the Shah and the mullahs alike, Tehran has vied for regional domination. Do not expect Iran to compromise its principles any time soon.**

- **Iran is Apocalyptic:** “Iran has an apocalyptic regime** with a great deal to be apocalyptic about. As I have argued in these pages since 2005, no poor country in the entire troubled history of the world has seen its fertility rate plunge from 7 children per female just one generation ago to only 1.6 children per female today… As a matter of arithmetic, Iran can sustain a third of its population as elderly dependents only by acquiring the wealth of its neighbors, for example, Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia, which has a Shia majority, and where Iran already is attempting to subvert the Saudi monarchy. That is why Iran is aggressive, and why no negotiation will contain it.” Also, “Fertility in Iran declined an astonishing 70 per cent over the 30-year period, which Eberstadt says was “one of the most rapid and pronounced fertility declines ever recorded in human history”. A July 2012 Financial Times story placed the Iranian fertility rate even lower and cited a UN report warning that Iran’s population would begin to shrink in two decades and would decline by more than 50 per cent by the end of the century if present trends continued.”

- **Iran is Apocalyptic:** Dr. Bernard Lewis, the leading U.S. scholar on the Middle East and a consultant to the U.S. and other Western governments, has said “Iran’s leadership comprises a group of extreme fanatical Muslims who believe that their messianic times have arrived. This is quite dangerous… with these people in Iran, mutually assured destruction is not a deterrent factor, but rather an inducement. They feel that they can hasten the final messianic process. This is an extremely dangerous situation of which it is important to be aware.” Leader Khamenei believes this. Maryam Rajavi, an Iranian opposition leader, has testified that Tehran wants nuclear weapons to foster Islamic extremism.

- **Iran is at War with the U.S.:** Since 1979, and the seizure of the U.S. Embassy in Tehran, the Iranian regime has committed numerous acts of war against the U.S. It has supported terrorists that have killed 241 U.S. Marines and 17 Embassy personnel in the 1983 bombings in Beirut, and in the 1996 bombing of the Khobar Towers that killed 23 Americans; it has supplied IEDs to Iraqi rebels during the 2000's that have killed more than 1000 U.S. soldiers in Iraq and Afghanistan, and it planned a 2011 bombing of a Washington, DC restaurant to kill the Saudi Ambassador.

- **True Sanctions Haven’t Been Tried Very Long:** The international sanctions on Iran only really began to bite in 2012, when the Europeans put sanctions on Iranian oil. (Professor Matthew Kronig, Hudson Institute, 04/24/15) Also see here.

- **No Snap-back for the Sanctions:** Mark Dubowitz, the Executive Director of the Foundation for Defense of Democracies, has written, “The president’s much-hyped “snap-back” economic sanctions, now the only coercive instrument Mr. Obama has against Iranian noncompliance, will also surely fall victim to the Security Council’s politics and human greed. Already the Russians are resisting any snap-back provision that will neutralize their rogue-regime-protecting veto…And once strictures are loosened, with major international, especially European, corporations competing for the Iranian market, it will be politically impossible to demand that these companies leave again.” Indeed, the Russians have refused to allow for an automatic snapback.

- **No Snap-back for the Sanctions:** Senator Robert Menendez (D-N.J.) has said that “all of the sanctions … always have to have at least a 6-month period of time in order to give countries and companies the notice required and the time for you to do the regulations necessary to proceed.” Obama Administrations officials have confirmed the truth of this statement.

- **No Snap-back for the Sanctions:** Ollie Heinonen, formerly of the IAEA, has declared that “the so-called ‘snapback’ of sanctions could take too long to register an impact.” With the erosion in the timeline and the loss of economic leverage, in the event of an Iranian violation, scientist and expert David Albright, president of the Institute for Science and International Security, told Congress, “You’ll be forced at that point to confront a military option, won’t be able to rely on the effective sanctions kicking in and having an effect.”
• **No Spat-back for the Sanctions:** Mike Flynn, the former head of the DIA under President Obama, has said the notion that the U.S. can 'snap back' sanctions on Tehran if it breaks an agreement is 'fiction'.

• **Inspections are Limited:** "(W)eapons inspectors can be no tougher than the body that empowers them—in this instance the UN Security Council. And herein lies the agreement's fundamental weakness—and perhaps its fatal flaw. Do we really want to depend on Vladimir Putin? Because Russia will be able to decide what to enforce in any deal—and what not to."

• **Diplomacy Tried Before:** During the 2000s, Europe and the U.S. negotiated with Iran, then led by the “reformist” President Khatami. Between 2000 and 2005, EU trade with Iran doubled, on the idea that diplomacy and more trade would lead to political liberalization. During this time, the Iran took advantage of the talks and money to advance the nuclear program, the ballistic missile program, and started funding Iraqi militias who killed U.S. soldiers in Iraq.

• **Syrian Chemical Weapons Predicate:** It has been revealed that the Syrian government lied to the West and did not declare all of its chemical weapons stock. Any deal with Iran similarly will require that Iran declare its full nuclear capabilities.

• **Iran Has Not Kept Prior Agreements:** Assistant Secretary of State for Western Hemisphere Affairs Roberta Jacobson said that, “the involvement of Iran in the Western Hemisphere is never benign.” She said that Iran’s efforts to infiltrate the Western Hemisphere had been blunted not only by pressure from sanctions, but because many countries in the West had become distrustful of the Iranians because they have constantly failed to live up to the agreements they have negotiated with those countries.

• **A Good Deal:** The U.S.-based Institute of Science and International Security has calculated that any deal purporting to realistically put off Iranian weaponization would minimally require the country to remove 15,000 centrifuges, shut down its underground military bunker at Fordow, downgrade the reactor at Arak, and agree to a 20-year inspection regime.

• **A Good Deal:** To ensure that the emerging nuclear deal will effectively prevent Iran from developing nuclear weapons, the United States must insist on implementing eight principles to “achieve a sound, enforceable deal,” Sen. Lindsey Graham (R – S.C) wrote in an op-ed (Google link) in The Wall Street Journal today. Graham wrote that the principles he is proposing have strong bipartisan support and “largely reflect President Obama’s negotiating position at the start of the process.” The eight principles outlined by Graham before any restrictions on Iran's nuclear program should be lifted are: limiting Iran to enough enriched uranium to feed a single commercial reactor; shutting down all of Iran’s hidden and fortified nuclear facilities, including Fordow; allowing “anytime, anywhere” inspections of all Iranian military and non-military sites without allowing Iran a veto over inspections; ensuring sanctions relief is conditioned on certification of Iranian compliance by the International Atomic Energy Agency; creating a well-defined process to “snap back” sanctions in case Iran is caught cheating on an agreement; forbidding Iran from developing advanced centrifuges that could reduce its breakout time; removing all enriched uranium from Iran, other than what is needed for a single reactor; and certifying that Iran has changed its aggressive, destabilizing behavior. In a 2012 interview with journalist Jeffrey Goldberg of The Atlantic, Obama used arguments similar to the ones brought up by Graham.

• **Iran Does Not Need Nuclear Power:** It makes little sense for Iran to develop peaceful nuclear energy, considering the costs involved. The IAEA and the ADL have reported on how unlikely it is that Iran is seeking a peaceful nuclear program. The French Ambassador to the U.S. Gérard Araud has admitted “the Iranians have spent billions of dollars on this program (i.e., nuclear program), on the program which doesn’t make any civilian meaning -- doesn’t have any civilian meaning.”

• **Intelligence is Limited:** U.S. intelligence reports—including those authored by the Pentagon—have determined that the U.S. is not “organized or fully equipped” to detect when foreign countries, like Iran, are developing weapons or secretly advancing their programs. History shows that U.S. intelligence failed to discover the nuclear weapons programs of the Soviet Union, China, India, Pakistan, and North Korea until after it was too late.

• **Iran’s Poor Human Rights Record:** The Iranian regime’s persecutions is increasing and continuing:
- According to Dr. Amir Sharifi, the Director of the Kurdish Human Rights Advocacy Group: “Women in Iran as a whole, and Kurdish women in particular, have very little legal protection against sexual harassment or violence.”
- Political dissidents as well as homosexuals have also become the victims of rape and torture in Iranian prisons.
- Open Doors International’s list for 2013 says Iran is one of the top 10 countries for Christian persecution.
- The Huffington Post ran an expose on anti-gay discrimination in Iran, quoting one refugee bluntly evaluating that “either you want to leave, or you want to die.” The punishment for sodomy under Iran’s criminal code is death.
- Iran is one of the world’s most repressive countries as regards freedom of information. It is ranked 173rd of 180 countries in the 2014 World Press Freedom Index compiled by Reporters Without Borders.
- Iran executed 753 citizens in 2014, “the highest annual figure since 2002.” After the JPA talks and after it was removed as a terrorism threat from the "Worldwide Threat Assessment of the US Intelligence Communities," it was reported that there were approximately 55 executions in fewer than 3 weeks across Iran.
- In April of 2015, Iran’s reached a milestone of 1,000 hangings in just the past 18 months.
- According to the U.N., as many as 98 executions were carried out in Iran between April 9 and 26, an average of six a day. (via TheTower.org)
- President of the Research Institute on Contemporary Iran, Mohsen Saegha has stated that “human rights abuses have not only continued, but also increased in many respects.” He noted the “persistent and pervasive assault on women on a continued basis on the pretext of disrespecting Hejab, education and employment segregation.”
- In November of 2014, a 26-year-old Iranian woman, Reyhanah Jabbari, was hung for allegedly killing the man who raped her. The trial of Jabbari lacked fair and due process.
- Iran has the world’s highest death penalty rate per capita.
- In 2014, 120 people of the Baha’i community, as well as 49 Christians, have been documented to be in prison in Iran solely for religious practices. Some members of the Arab community, characterized as “cultural rights activists,” as well as juveniles, have also been put to death.
- Regarding discrimination against Baha’is, a religious minority, Director of the U.S. Baha’i Office of Public Affairs Anthony Vance has stated that “the situation has worsened during the year and a half since Iran’s new and self-described reformist President, Hassan Rouhani, took office.”
- In March 2014, the European Parliament passed a resolution urging Iran’s leaders to improve its human rights situation, noting that “the situation of women in Iran remains marred by unacceptable discrimination, in particular on legal matters, as well as with regard to family law and women’s participation in economic and political life.”
- The EU parliament expressed “alarm with regard to the high number of executions in 2013 and 2014, including of minors …condemns the restrictions on freedom of information, freedom of association, freedom of expression, freedom of assembly, freedom of religion, academic freedom, freedom of education and freedom of movement, as well as the repression and discrimination on the basis of religion, belief, ethnicity, gender or sexual orientation that persist…”
- As of July 2014, 65 news providers were behind bars in Iran, according to Reporters Without Borders, and Iran remains “one of the world’s most repressive countries as regards freedom of information.”
- The Washington Institute for Near East Policy has published a report detailing Iran’s efforts to spread anti-semitic discourse. “In today’s Iran, anti-Judaic and anti-Semitic discourses are sometimes mixed in textbooks, media, religious/political propaganda, and secular intellectual literature,” said the report.
- “Every day the regime is killing our people for nothing other than seeking their rights, and the world remains silent,” an Iranian Kurd has told Al-Monitor. “There is an established pattern of the regime seizing on any thaw with the West as an opportunity to crack down even harder on its opponents,” he said.
- In 2014, Iran executed 14 people, who were less than 18 years old at the time of their “crime,” as has been reported by Amnesty International. In Iran, a girl who is nine years old can be legally executed.

- Iran Still Holds U.S. Hostages: Iran has 4 American citizens hostage, and is prosecuting one on trumped on charges, even while negotiations are ongoing.
- Iranian Ballistic Missiles Imply Nuclear Weapons: Former senior U.S. State Department official Robert Einhorn, now at the Brookings think-tank, said there was “considerable logic" to tackling the ballistic missile issue in the context of what he called the nuclear weapons threat posed by Iran. "Given the inaccuracy of early-generation, long-range ballistic missiles, such missiles only have military utility if they carry munitions with a very wide radius of destruction, mainly nuclear weapons," Einhorn said in a new report.
- Continuing Sabotage in Iran: There continue to be suspect explosions in Iranian facilities that may be connected to the nuclear program. On October 5, 2014, a massive blast Sunday night in Parchin rocked buildings more than 10 miles away
and killed at least two people. The blast may be related to nuclear development in Iran, according to the Los Angeles Times. Iranian officials in the past have strongly denied such claims that it has a secret nuclear enrichment facility in Abyek, near the major city.

- **State Department Has Not Been Helpful:** The Government Accountability Office found that the State Department had failed to provide timely reports to Congress on the proliferation activities of Iran, North Korea and Syria. Instead of delivering reports every six months, as required by law, delays ranged from 22 months to three years. “Prolonged delays in eventually imposing INKSNA sanctions could erode the credibility of such threats and INKSNA’s utility as a tool in helping to curb weapons of mass destruction proliferation,” GAO concluded. Also, GAO reported that State knew of 23 people involved in sanctions-busting activities in 2011 but only imposed sanctions last December. The law requires the agency to sanction violators or justify its decision not to.

**Iranian Actions Post-JPA: Showing Bad Faith**

- There are at least nine ways in which Iran has explicitly violated the interim agreement or international sanctions during the negotiations:
  - Trying to buy equipment for plutonium reactor at Arak, breaking commitment to suspend work. The Obama administration complained about the purchases to the Security Council, even as it told the world that Iran had “lived up to its end of the bargain.” Iran’s defense is that the JPA did not apply to work offsite, or to onsite work unrelated to the reactor.
  - Feeding uranium hexafluoride gas into a plant where it had agreed to suspend nuclear enrichment. The Institute for Science and International Security noted that Iran had begun enrichment at the Pilot Fuel Enrichment Plant at Natanz. It notified the Obama administration, which complained to the Iranians, who then claimed to stop.
  - Withholding camera footage of nuclear facilities, defying the IAEA. The interim deal was to provide surveillance footage of Iranian nuclear facilities—but Iran has only provided what it wants to reveal. The New York Times reported that the IAEA accused Iran of continually refusing to answer questions about its nuclear program.
  - Testing new IR-8 centrifuges, advancing its enrichment program and making cheating much easier. A violation of the spirit, if not also the letter, of the agreement, the development of a new centrifuge that can work sixteen times faster than its first-generation centrifuges would make cheating far easier and verification far more difficult. The new device essentially nullifies the verification process agreed to in the interim deal.
  - Exporting more energy than allowed under the interim agreement, blunting residual sanctions. The deal capped Iran’s exports of crude oil to 1 million barrels per day. Iran continues to break this promise.
  - According to a Reuters exclusive published on April 30, 2015, Iran continues to illegally procure nuclear materials through front companies, despite ongoing negotiations over its nuclear program.
  - The Czech Republic blocked an attempted purchase by Iran of a large shipment of sensitive technology useable for nuclear enrichment after false documentation raised suspicions, U.N. experts and Western sources said.
  - The Iranian Transport Minister was quoted as saying Tehran bought 15 used commercial planes. This violates sanctions, even under the JPA.
  - During the 18 months of the JPA, inspectors report that Iran’s stockpile of nuclear fuel has increased by about 20%.
  - General Qassem Suleimani, commander of the elite Quds force of the Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps, violated a UN-mandated travel ban, as shown by “a number of media reports with photographs and videos” showing him in Iraq, Syria and Lebanon, “reportedly organizing and training militia and regular forces in those countries.”

- The National Council of Resistance of Iran (NCRI) said in a report that it has found concrete evidence of an “underground top-secret site currently used by the Iranian regime for research and development with advanced centrifuges for uranium enrichment.” The site has operated in secret since at least 2008.

- Iran has cheated in the deal (unless the hidden full JPA contradicts Obama Administration statements) – “Not content to let the year play out slowly, the Iranians advanced their nuclear program and boosted their economy beyond what was envisioned by the JPOA. They fed gas into IR-5 centrifuges and tested IR-8 centrifuges, technology generations ahead of their current infrastructure. They sought and illicitly acquired parts for their heavy-water reactor.”

- On Jan. 20, 2015, President Obama said that “we’ve halted the progress of its nuclear program.”
  - The Washington Post gave this claim “Three Pinocchios” for being incorrect.
  - In a hearing on Jan. 21, 2015, Sen. Bob Menendez D-NJ, forced Deputy Secretary of State Tony Blinken to concede that “the Iranian nuclear program had not been halted, was continuing apace, and that the White House is keen to overlook the regime’s regular skirting of the terms of agreements with Western powers.” Blinken also admitted the
White House is no longer negotiating to stop Iran from breaking out to nuclear weapons, but only to get a better "alarm" if the rogue nation tries to make the leap.

- The Institute for Science and International Security says Iran has produced enough enriched uranium for almost two bombs in 2014, by enriching it to the 3.5% maximum (which is 60% of the work required to enrich to bomb grade).
- The AP reports that Iran has continued construction for the Arak reactor which is now 87% complete.
- Another report says that Iran continues to "vigorously" pursue a nuclear weapon.
- The head of the IAEA has stated that Iran still refuses to explain its research into an atomic weapon.
- In January of 2015, Tehran announced it was building two new nuclear reactors in the Bushehr region. It is also dispersing its nuclear infrastructure and materials into Syria to build a nuclear facility; Syria is hiding 50 tons of enriched uranium.
- International inspectors report that Iran's stockpile of nuclear fuel has increased about 20% over the negotiations.

- Iran has grabbed American citizens (and here) on trumped on charges, even while negotiations are ongoing. Iran is prosecuting one on trumped on charges, even while negotiations are ongoing.

- On April 28, 2015, a Marshall Islands-flagged container ship was fired upon and intercepted by Iran's Navy in the Strait of Hormuz. The Pentagon stated that the Iranian Navy boarded the ship after firing warning shots across its bridge. The incident is clearly illegal based on international law, as the ship was transiting the Strait along an internationally recognized maritime route when it was surrounded. The incident comes soon after a convoy of Iranian cargo and warships presumably carrying weapons destined for Tehran’s Houthi allies in Yemen were turned back by U.S. Navy ships, and after another incident when Iranian warships surrounded, but did not board, a U.S.-flagged ship in international waters. The Marshall Islands and the U.S. signed a Compact of Free Association in 1983 by which the U.S. “has full authority and responsibility for security and defense of the Marshall Islands.” This treaty was amended in 2004. “Thus, it is clear the U.S. is required to act on behalf of the defenseless Marshall Islands.” But, originally, “Pentagon lawyers have determined U.S. has no obligation to come to the defense of a Marshall Islands-flagged vessel at sea.” However, the Charge d'Affairs for the Marshall Islands Embassy in Washington, Junior Aini, disagrees with the Pentagon lawyers, and made it clear the only option for his country is for the U.S. to act as required by the treaty. Later, the Pentagon “retracted” that statement and said the U.S. government was in discussions with the Marshall Islands "on the way ahead." He said the decision to defend the vessel would be a "presidential decision."" Given the U.S.'s formal, binding obligation to the Marshall Islands, the Iranian seizure of the ship was in effect an act of war against America. The ship was released six days later after the payment of a $163,000 fine. This and other Iranian aggressions in the Gulf could lead to a spike in shipping costs.

- Iran sponsors terror groups throughout the region and has bragged that it controls the capitals of four Arab nations. In 2014, Iran continues to be the State Dept's leading State Sponsor of Terrorism. 2014 marks its 30th year on the list.
  - Tehran uses the al-Quds Force of its Islamic Revolutionary Guard Corps as its "primary mechanism for cultivating and supporting terrorists abroad" with the intent of destabilizing the Middle East and fomenting anti-Israel attacks.
  - Yemen's Houthis, a Shiite rebel group that is supported by Iran, have taken control of the capital Sanaa. Estimates put the number of both Iranian and Iraqi Shi'ite forces helping the Houthis in Yemen at around 5,000 people.
  - Iran continues to be a major supporter of the Assad Regime in Syria. In 2015, the UN envoy to Syria estimated that Iran has been channeling as much as $35 billion a year into Syria, or as little as $6 billion. The civil war in Syria has killed more than 220,000 people and displaced more than 11 million. Thousands of Iranians are fighting in Syria.
  - Iran has waged a covert campaign to turn Iraq into a virtual client state. Reports of “Iran arming Iraqi insurgents and attempting to destabilize the government emerged in 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, and 2014.” “Iran cannot hold Iraq together, but it can drive it apart, and its policies of 2012-2014 almost did so.” Also see here. In 2015, the State Department asserted that Iran’s support for terror is “undiminished” and noted that many of the Iranian-backed Iraqi militias “have exacerbated sectarian tensions in Iraq and have committed serious human rights abuses against primarily Sunni civilians.”
  - Iran provides “calibrated lethal aide to the Taliban” in Afghanistan to help the group conduct attacks on U.S. forces.
  - Iran has become the primary supplier of weapons to the genocidal regime of Sudan.
  - Iran is cooperating with Sudan to train and support jihadists in Libya.
  - In Latin America, Iran has engaged in money laundering, drug and arms trafficking, counterfeiting, promoting jihad, and plotting terrorist attacks. Post JPA, it has boosted those efforts.
  - According to an advisor to President Rouhani, Iran is once again an empire, as it was in the past, and its capital, Iraq, is "the center of Iranian heritage, culture, and identity." Delineating the borders of the Persian Empire, or, in his words, "greater Iran," he included countries from China, the Indian subcontinent, the north and south Caucasus, and
the Persian Gulf. He added that since the very dawn of its history, Iran had been an empire and a melting pot of different cultures, languages, and peoples.

- Iran virtually birthed Hezbollah, which largely controls Lebanon, and “before 9/11, had the dubious honor of having killed more Americans than any other terrorist organization.” Iran gives Hezbollah up to $200 million per year, and between 2006 and 2011, Iran provided Hamas with $250-$300 million annually.
- Iran has increased support for the Popular Front for the Liberation of Palestine, which in 2014 attacked a Jerusalem synagogue and killed three Americans and two others.
- Iran and Hamas have rebuilt warm relations, overcoming a temporary break in relations caused by the Syrian war. Between 2006 and 2011, financed Hamas with $250-$300 million annually.
- Iran has been funnelling money to the Palestinian Authority through its Shari’a courts and buying influence with the Palestinian public in the West Bank by transferring funds to the families of Palestinian “martyrs” (i.e., terrorists).

- On November 20, 2014, it was reported that Iran continues to “vigorously” pursue a nuclear weapon, despite repeated assurances to the contrary to the West. The analysis, authored by former U.S. Ambassador the UN John Bolton, former U.S. Under Secretary of State for Arms Control and International Security Robert Joseph, and former European Parliament Vice President Alejo Vidal Quadras, bolsters research conducted by the United Nations indicating that Iran continues to hide a great deal of its nuclear work. The findings of the report coincide with comments issued by the head of the U.N.’s nuclear agency, the International Atomic Energy Agency, stating that Iran still refuses to explain its research into an atomic weapon. It has been reported that Iran has used the loosening of the sanctions to violate the accord by buying parts for their plutonium-producing reactor at Arak, a fact which the Administration has acknowledged privately.

- In November of 2014, an IAEA report declared that Iran was still denying the agency access to sites where military-related atomic work is thought to have taken place, threatening any post-deal verification regime. The IAEA needs to benchmark the full scope of Iran’s program now, so that all components of the program can be built into an agreement which the IAEA will monitor following an agreement.

- In December of 2014, President Rouhani announced a new Iranian budget that boosts military spending by 33.5 percent.

- Iranian hackers have targeted at least 50 companies and government organizations, including some in the U.S. The U.S. companies include chemical and energy companies, defense contractors, universities and transportation providers. The Iranian group is the same one that breached the U.S. Navy’s unclassified computer system in September 2013. Iran has significantly stepped up efforts to launch cyberattacks and hack into the critical systems of multiple Middle East governments, including those of Israel, Saudi Arabia, Yemen, and a host of other nations, according to a new report by a leading Israeli cyber security firm.

- On February 28, 2014, USA Today catalogued a range of indications that "Iran [is] advancing its nuclear program despite [a] pact with West," describing how the Islamic Republic was "moving ahead with a nuclear program that U.S. officials said would be frozen" even as the sanctions relief granted under an interim agreement was eroding Washington's leverage in nuclear negotiations. The Institute for Science and International Security has released documentation showing that Iran has resumed work at a military base where it is believed to have conducted experiments linked to the development of nuclear warheads. Also, Iran is still attempting to buy banned components for its nuclear and missile programs during the period when it struck an interim deal with major powers to limit its disputed atomic activity.

- Tehran is continuing to deny international nuclear inspectors access to the country’s Parchin military base.

- In Mid-January 2014, Iranian naval warships were sent close to U.S. maritime borders. According to The Free Beacon, an Iranian Admiral said: "The Americans can sense by all means how their warships will be sunk with 5,000 crews and forces in combat against Iran and how they should find its hulk in the depths of the sea." The Admiral also said: “…Americans and all the world know that one of the operational goals of the IRGC Navy is destruction of the US naval force.”

- Iran has long waged a covert campaign to turn Iraq into a virtual client of Tehran — and in the process alienated Sunnis and pushed them toward extremism. Because of this, al-Qaeda and its former affiliate ISIS have seized part of Iraq and violence and deaths have increased. There is a Reuters report revealing that Baghdad had inked a weapons deal with Iran worth $195 million, breaking a U.N.-imposed arms embargo on the Islamic republic. The Washington Free Beacon reported that Tehran provided shelter and protection to Abu Musab al-Zarqawi, who founded al Qaeda in Iraq, the predecessor to ISIS. The Free Beacon has also noted that reports of “Iran arming Iraqi insurgents and attempting to destabilize the
Iran continues to spew hatred and act in hostile ways towards the U.S. and Israel.

- Supreme Leader Khamenei taunted President Obama, claiming that the U.S. no longer has the guts for battle by proclaiming that 'military attack is not a priority for Americans now.'
- President Rouhani described his country’s diplomacy with the U.S. as an active “jihad” that is just as significant to Tehran’s advancement as the slew of new weapons and missiles showcased by the Islamic Republic’s military. Rouhani also praised the country’s military leaders for standing “against the enemy on the battlefield” and said as president, he would carry out this “jihad” on the diplomatic front.
- Khamenei stated on July 23, 2014 that the only solution for the region is the destruction of Israel.
- “Jihad will only end when society can get rid of America,” threatened Iran's Khamenei.
- On April 17, 2014, President Rouhani said Iran had the might to deter any attacks as its military, while he presided over an annual parade of drones and missiles in Tehran, with soldier participants chanting “God is Greatest,” and holding banners saying “Death to America” and “Death to Israel.”
- On January 9, 2014, Khamenei said: “We had announced previously that on certain issues, if we feel it is expedient, we would negotiate with the Satan (the United States) to deter its evil.”
- On March 21, 2014, Khamenei declared that “Waiting for "the enemy" to lift the sanctions is a road "to hell," he stressed — and Iranians need to "look to what we can do ourselves."
- On March 21, 2014, Khamenei questioned the Holocaust in an address marking Nowruz, the Persian New Year. The "Holocaust is an event whose reality is uncertain and if it has happened, it's uncertain how it has happened." Meanwhile, the crowd of listeners chanted “Death to America!”
- The Chief Commander of the Islamic Revolution Guards Corps, Major General Mohammad Ali Jafari, has stated that “we are ready for the big fight with the United States.”
- Iranian General Jazzayeri has said, “The low-IQ US president ... speak of the effectiveness of 'the US options on the table' on Iran while this phrase is mocked at and has become a joke among the Iranian nation, especially the children.”
- Gen. Naghdi said, according to Fars News Agency, “Our ideal is not [nuclear] centrifuges but the destruction of the White House and the annihilation of Zionism [Israel].”
- On January 6, 2014, it was reported that a top Iranian lawmaker and cleric said that the country’s uranium enrichment program could allow it to build a nuclear weapon “in two weeks” in order to “put down Israel.”
- Reuters published photos taken January 14, 2014, right when the final deal was announced, showing “Iran’s Foreign Minister Mohammad Javad Zarif … laying a wreath at the grave of assassinated Hezbollah military commander” Imad Mughniyeh, who began his career as the mastermind of the deadly 1983 attacks on the U.S. embassy and Marine barracks in Beirut, killing more Americans than any terrorist except Osama Bin Laden.
- On January 4, 2014, Iran’s Deputy Foreign Minister Araghchi said: “The USA is still the Great Satan in our view.”
- On January 7, 2014, Iranian state TV ran a documentary featuring a computerized video of Iran’s drones and missiles bombing Tel Aviv and carrying out simulated strikes on the American aircraft carrier USS Abraham Lincoln. Iranian television later showed a real attack on a mock carrier. At the mock attack, an Iranian commander claimed that “The Americans, and the entire world, know that the American Navy is one of our targets, and it will take us 50 seconds to destroy every US warship.”
- In a sermon Ayatollah Movahedi-Kermani accused U.S. leaders of insolence and lies, and the crowds responded with chants of “Death to America.” He also said “(w)e know that the military threats that all options are on the table serve America's domestic interests. They are joking. They don’t have the guts to do it, and they know it.”
- “Most of the Muslim world's problems are the result of the arrogant and expansionist performance of the hegemonic powers, headed by the U.S., and the tragedies that Islamic countries have faced, are so much that we cannot have even an iota of trust in the Americans,” Iranian Brigadier General Massoud Jazayeri, deputy chief of staff of the Iranian Armed Forces, said on November 24, 2014 according to Fars.
A senior analyst and strategy specialist for Leader Khamenei, who speaks with the blessing of the Islamic regime, has stated that “Elongation” is Iran’s sole doctrine, in which the Geneva negotiations between the P5+1 and Iran were “never supposed to be brought to a successful conclusion … whether positive or negative.” Those talks began in 2006 and have been extended several times.

Supreme Leader Khamenei said on Twitter to call for the destruction of the “barbaric, wolflike & infanticidal regime of Israel.”

Foreign Minister Javad Zarif, often considered a “moderate”, has said that Israel should be “annihilated.”

In statements on February 26, 2015, both Leader Ali Khamenei and Ali Shirazi, his representative in Iran's Islamic Revolutionary Guards Corps (IRGC) elite Qods Force, stressed the need to instill the values of jihad and martyrdom in Iranian society, and reiterated the ideological principles of the Islamic Revolution – enmity towards the U.S. and opposition to American hegemony and the existing world order.

Iranian military officials have endorsed a nuclear electromagnetic pulse explosion that would attack the U.S.

Leader Khamenei called for “Death to America”, a day after President Barack Obama appealed to Iran to seize a “historic opportunity” for a nuclear deal and a better future.

The “government of the Islamic Republic of Iran has divine permission to destroy Israel,” Mojtaba Zolnour, Supreme Leader Ali Khamenei's deputy representative to the Revolutionary Guards, was cited in Iranian media as saying.

The deputy commander of the Iranian Revolutionary Guards said that while the United States “speaks loftily about security and global development, it remains our worst, most vicious enemy.”

The Iranian regime continues celebrates a yearly “Death to America” day.

- Iranians chanted “Death to America,” “Death to Obama,” and “Death to Kerry.”
- Iranians set up a booth for Iranians to throw shoes at President Obama.
- Iranians hung President Obama in effigy
- Iranians walked on and burned U.S. flags.
- Iranians used an image of President Obama to throw darts at.

Agence France-Presse (AFP) conveyed statements from various Iranian officials laying out "red lines" in the context of upcoming nuclear negotiations:

- Regarding ballistic missiles – which Wendy Sherman had said would be addressed in final talks – Iranian Deputy FM Araqchi declared that "defence-related issues are a red line for Iran" that would not "discussed in future talks."
- Iranian nuclear negotiator Majid Tahkht Ravanchi said that Iran had ruled out closure of “any of its nuclear sites.”
- Iranian state media coverage of Ravanchi's statement is here.
- Iran’s nuclear chief Salehi told Iran’s state broadcaster that Iranian scientists had developed a new generation of centrifuges 15 times more efficient than its previous technology, boasting that the achievement had been enabled by Iranian negotiators out-maneuvering Western negotiators in sealing an interim nuclear agreement.
- A spokesman for Iran's delegation to the U.N. ruled out downgrading Iran's heavy water reactor at Arak. Changes to the Arak reactor had earlier been rejected by Iranian Foreign Minister Mohammad Javad Zarif.

The Daily Beast has conveyed analysis from a U.S. intelligence report warning that “the comprehensive nuclear deal Iran is negotiating with the West could be undermined by increased Iranian cooperation with North Korea.” North Korea has also supplied several shipments of missile components to Iran during the nuclear talks and the transfers appear to violate United Nations sanctions on both countries, according to U.S. intelligence officials. More info is here.

- Omri Ceren has said: “in every meaningful sense, the North Korean nuclear program is an Iranian nuclear program, albeit beyond Iran’s territorial borders. The Iranians pay for the program. The Iranians receive knowledge and technology from the program. The Iranians are on hand to observe every major nuclear and missile test. Etc. Seen in this light, the nuclear deal with Iran will become a multi-billion dollar jobs program for North Korean nuclear engineers, who will use the money to create and miniaturize more nuclear warheads, which they will then give back to Tehran. The deal doesn’t stop Iran’s nuclear weapons program. It finances the program.”
- Ambassador John Bolton has written that “China’s new estimates underscore another key deficiency in the “framework” with Iran: It fails to consider Iran’s facilitators beyond its borders.”

Russia will sell to Iran S-300 a surface to air missile system, which would improve Iranian defenses against an air attack, and can also be used to threaten its neighbors. President Putin declared that he revoked the ban on the delivery of the system to Iran due to progress in the nuclear talks, contradicting U.S. officials who claim that there is no connection between the Russian announcement and the current talks. While the U.S. opposes this sale and has made its position known to Russian officials, the Russians said the system will be delivered “promptly.” The Wall Street Journal Editorial
Board has asserted that the transfer would make “Iran’s nuclear installations far more difficult and costly to attack should Tehran seek to build a bomb.”

- China and Russia have agreed to help Iran build new nuclear reactors. Oil-rich Iran has little need for the one nuclear power plant it already has, in Bushehr, but it wants five more. The reactors open more paths to nuclear-weapons.

- In 2015, it was reported that Iranian computer hackers have “expended their attack infrastructure more than fivefold over the course of just 13 months.”

- The JPA has prompted Iran to double down on aggression and terrorism:
  - Director of American Enterprise Institute’s Critical Threats Project Frederick Kagan has claimed that the global Iranian threat—-independent of the status of its nuclear program—is greater today than it has ever been.
  - Vice President of American Foreign Policy Council Ilan Berman has argued that sanctions relief provided during the Joint Plan of Action has allowed Iran to increase its support for terror, asserting that “in the interim, in the year and a half that Iran has had greater breathing room... it has also, as the State Department has noted, stepped up its sponsorship of global instability as manifested in places like Syria, Yemen.”
  - Director of Research at the Center for Middle East Policy at the Brookings Institution Daniel Byman has warned that a nuclear-armed Iran would increase its sponsorship of terror, stating that Iran could “exploit the perceived protection it would gain if it developed a nuclear weapon to step up support for militant groups in the region.”
  - In testimony before the House Financial Services Committee, Jonathan Schanzer, a former official at the Department of Treasury, said that under the interim Joint Plan of Action, “There’s been...$900 million at a time just to keep Iran at the table, and that’s added up to almost $15 billion by the time we reach the deadline. And then on top of that, we’re going to see perhaps that $50 billion signing bonus, and then there’s going to be another $50 billion from the semi-accessible accounts that Iran has in places like China and Japan and Turkey and others.” Iran is “prolific” in terrorist financing, Dr. Schanzer explained, and has sent hundreds of millions of dollars to Hamas and Hezbollah. Iran has invested in human capital as well by training Palestinian terrorists in rocket manufacturing. Hezbollah is believed to be “in possession of 90,000 rockets, many of them Iran-supplied”. When asked by Congressman Andy Barr (R-Ky.) if the U.S. should “anticipate…a rapid acceleration of this type of financing” upon the completion of any deal with Iran, Schanzer responded, “Absolutely, across the board. Houthis, the Assad regime, Hezbollah, Palestinian Islamic Jihad, Hamas. Every one of these groups stands to benefit. They’re all salivating right now at this idea of a cash infusion.”

Iranian Officials are neither “moderates” nor “pragmatists”

- Rouhani has a documented history of engaging in deceptive rhetoric towards the West.
- Rouhani was on the Iranian committee that plotted the 1994 bombing of a JCC in Buenos Aires that killed 85 people.
- Rouhani has expressed support for the 1979 seizure of the U.S. Embassy, for the fatwa against Salman Rushdie, and for the use of terrorist violence against the U.S.
- Rouhani appointed as his defense minister a general who was implicated in the 1983 bombing that killed 241 American servicemen in Lebanon. He also appointed as Vice President the woman who, in 1979, spoke for the Iranian revolutionaries who seized the U.S. Embassy in Tehran.
- Rouhani named a member of the group that held U.S. hostages in Tehran to be its next ambassador to the U.N.
- The number of executions in Iran has increased during Rouhani’s presidency - 753 in 2014, 665 in 2013 and 522 in 2012. During the 14 months since Iranian President Hassan Rouhani took office, Iranian authorities have carried out at least 936 executions, according to data compiled by the Connecticut-based Iran Human Rights Documentation Center.
- On April 23, 2015, Al-Monitor wrote that Rouhani has “neglected” the reform agenda — a long list of domestic, social and political demands made by the Iranian people and the Reformist camp.
- Foreign Minister Javad Zarif is also an extremist.

Reports About the Emerging Final Deal

Iran has achieved its negotiating objectives in the talks, Ray Takeyh of the Council on Foreign Relations told senators.

“Conversely, the United States has made a series of concessions that make the possibility of a good deal difficult to envision.”

- Non-binding Executive Agreement: Secretary of State John Kerry has acknowledged that a potential agreement between the P5+1 and Iran on Iran’s nuclear program would not be a “legally binding plan.”
- UN Approval: President Obama’s plan seems to be to strike a final deal with Iran and submit it to the U.N. Security Council for approval, without allowing any Congressional say.
• **Nuclear Proliferation Assured:** Prince Turki al-Faisal, the former head of Saudi intelligence, said in November that his country would match the nuclear technologies Iran was allowed to maintain as part of any final agreement.

• **North Korean or Syrian Wildcard:** It is unclear if the deal will bar North Korean or Syrian-Iranian cooperation. North Korea has a long-term partnership with Iran on everything from missiles to nuclear technology and components.

• **More Frozen Funds Released:** Iran could receive a signing bonus of up to $50 billion in frozen funds, and then another $50 billion from the semi-accessible accounts that Iran has in places like China and Japan and Turkey… US Chairman of the Joint Chiefs Martin Dempsey has said that sanctions relief will likely go to increased funding for Iran’s terror proxies. Or, Iran could receive up to a $150 billion windfall in the event of a final deal.

• **Other Iranian Sanctions May Be Suspended:** “The Obama administration may have to backtrack on its promise that it will suspend only nuclear-related economic sanctions on Iran as part of an emerging nuclear agreement.” “Administration officials say they're examining a range of options that include suspending both nuclear and some non-nuclear sanctions, a step that would face substantial opposition in Congress and elsewhere.”

• **Iran Needn't Describe Past Nuclear Efforts:** Secretary Kerry has signaled that the U.S. was prepared to ease economic sanctions on Iran before getting definitive answers to their questions about Iranian nuclear work. Experts believe this is a major mistake. Kerry’s statements are undercut by the Director General of the International Atomic Energy Agency, Yukiya Amano, who said in March, “We don’t know what they did in the past… And that is why we cannot say that all the activities in Iran is [sic] in peaceful purposes.”

• **End Arms Embargo:** The final deal may partially lift the UN embargo on conventional arms to Iran.

• **Complex and Long Deal:** “The deal is also highly complex, comprising a main document of around 20 pages plus five ‘annexes’ totaling an additional 40-50 pages, Iran’s lead negotiator Abbas Araqchi said last Saturday.”

• **West May Help Iran Produce Nuclear Power:** A classified annex to the emerging nuclear deal reveals that the P5+1 powers have promised to provide Iran with advanced nuclear technology, the AP reported. The P 5 + 1 are ready to offer high-tech light water reactors and other state-of-the-art nuclear equipment to Iran, and help in constructing nuclear technology, if Iran agrees to a deal.

• **No “Anytime, Anywhere” Inspections:** There will be a “process” to access Iranian areas, but the West will not be able to enter every Iranian site, “because the United States of America wouldn’t allow anybody to get into every military site, so that’s not appropriate,” the official said.” Also, the “P5+1 countries… have caved in to Iranian demands and will not insist on inspections of nuclear installations as part of a deal on Iran’s nuclear weapons program…”

• **Breakout Time:** Iran can currently produce enough nuclear material to fuel a bomb in as little as two months.
  o “PRESIDENT OBAMA’S main pitch for the pending nuclear deal with Iran is that it would extend the “breakout time” necessary for Iran to produce enough enriched uranium for a nuclear weapon… Unfortunately, that claim is false…By my calculations, Iran’s actual breakout time under the deal would be approximately three months — not over a year.” Obama originally acknowledged that after the 13 years of the final nuclear deal the Iranian nuclear breakout time will shrink again. His Administration has since said that the President’s words were a little “mixed up.”
  o President Obama acknowledged that even if the U.S. view of the eventual agreement is true, after the 13 years of the final nuclear deal, during which Iran would be kept around one year away from a bomb, the Iranian nuclear breakout time will then shrink again. His Administration has since said that the President’s words were a little “mixed up.”

**The Framework for a Final Deal**

• It is not an agreement. It is a “framework” for an eventual agreement to be forged in the period between now and June 30th when the actual “agreement” is to be signed.

• There is no agreement as to what was agreed. At least three versions of the framework are in circulation: that of the State Department, that of the French and that of the Iranians. They disagree fundamentally on what was agreed.

• The only thing that can be stated with any definitiveness about the framework is that it confirms that the U.S. has moved towards the Iranian position on the coming nuclear deal, and not vice versa. For example:
  o Iran can continue to enrich: Although the exact numbers are still in question, under the terms of the emerging deal, Iran can keep enriching centrifuges. Note that the only way to prevent Iranian nuclear weapons is to keep the number of centrifuges below 5,000.
  o Iran is allowed to keep the Arak heavy water reactor, the Fordo bunker, and the Natanz facility.

• **Post-Framework Iranian Actions Show Hostility & Bad Faith:**
  o A day after the framework was announced, at the state-sanctioned, supreme leader-directed weekly prayers in Tehran and every provincial capital, Iranian crowds chanted “Death to America”, according to the Iranian press.
Iran’s foreign minister has vowed that Iran will use its latest generation IR-8 centrifuges as soon as its nuclear deal with the world powers goes into effect. This makes a mockery of the framework agreement, since such a move breaches the U.S.-published terms of the deal, and would dramatically accelerate Iran’s progress to the bomb.

Iran’s Defense Minister Hossein Dehqan categorically rejected as a “lie” a report alleging that Tehran has granted the IAEA access to its military facilities under the recent framework agreement.

Leader Khamenei has said that “Iran's military sites cannot be inspected under the excuse of nuclear supervision,” and “No inspection of any military site or interview with nuclear scientists will be allowed,” confirming the Defense Minister’s earlier statement, and a similar statement by Foreign Minister Zarif.

Leader Khamenei has warned about the “devilish” intentions of the United States and demanded that all sanctions on Iran be lifted at the same time as any final agreement is concluded.

On April 19, 2015, thousands of Iranians celebrated their nation’s annual Army Day, with a full-on military parade -- replete with chants of “Death to America” and “Death to Israel.”

A senior Iranian military official has warned the U.S.-backed coalition against blocking a Yemen-bound Iranian aid ship, saying that such a move would “spark a fire” in the region.

An Iranian official has said with regard to the “historic” agreement that “…Our facilities will not only remain underground, but will go deeper in the ground,” expressing indignation at Vice President Joseph Biden’s assurances at a recent speech to the Washington Institute that all options remain on the table should Iran cheat on its commitments. He then condemned any slowdown of research and development at the once-covert nuclear enrichment center that Iran built under a mountain at Fordo, and called on Iran to build five new underground facilities.

On May 14, 2015, five Iranian boats fired shots across the bow of a Singapore flagged cargo vessel in the Persian Gulf in an attempt to potentially stop the ship.

The U.S. is the enemy of both Shia and Sunni Muslims, as well as the world's main sponsor of terrorism, said Leader Khamenei.

As some lawmakers chanted “Death to America,” Iran’s parliament voted to ban access to military sites, documents and scientists as part of a future deal with over its contested nuclear program.

Leader Khamenei said “Freezing Iran's Research and Development (R&D) for a long time like 10 or 12 years is not acceptable,” and also said sanctions imposed on it should be lifted as soon it reaches a final deal with major powers, state TV reported. He also repeated his refusal to allow inspections of Iranian military sites.

More information may be found here and here.

For more information please contact: EMET/ 202.601.7422/ Info@emetonline.org/ www.emetonline.org